

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,553	08/05/2003	Eli Cohen	29452/39269 6039	
** ***	7590 11/28/200' GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			SHEN, BIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

record of						
	Application No.	Α	pplicant(s)			
	10/634,553	. c	OHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Α	rt Unit			
	Bin Shen		657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the b become ABANDONED (	filed mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	uly 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under be	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-27</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 23-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 12 and 14-22 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election require	ment				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	anent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (P	TO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) <u> </u>		ωι εγγισατίστι			

Art Unit: 1657

This application contains claims 1-11, 23-27 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed July 12, 2007 to revive the application has been granted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (Am J of Kidney Diseases 1996;28(1):82-85).

Yamamoto et al. teach an apparatus (a platelet aggregometer, page 82, right column, 4<sup>th</sup> full paragraph) for determine heparin-induced thrombocytopenia II complex (abstract) comprising: means for testing platelet aggregation (read as clot formation which comprises at least one of a clot strength measurement, a clot elasticity measurement, a clot rate of formation measurement and a clot rate of lysis measurement) in the presence and absence of heparin (read as various concentrations of heparin or vehicle, see end of page 82 to top of page 83) in a platelet rich plasma (page 83, left column, line 2).

Art Unit: 1657

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Cohen (WO01/96879A2), in view of Yamamoto and Pravinkumar et al. (British J of Anaesthesia 2003;90(5)676-685).

Cohen teaches an apparatus for monitoring heparin (abstract and page 9, line 5) comprising: means for testing a first blood sample a first blood sample characteristic in the presence of heparin; means for testing a second blood sample a second blood sample characteristic in the absence of heparin (page 10, lines 3-5); means for comparing the first and second blood sample characteristic to determine the effects of heparin, wherein the first blood sample characteristic comprises at least one of a clot strength measurement, a clot elasticity measurement, a clot rate of formation measurement a clot rate of lysis measurement of the first blood sample and the second blood sample characteristic comprises at least one of a clot strength measurement, a clot elasticity

Art Unit: 1657

measurement, a clot rate of formation measurement, a clot time to formation measurement a clot rate of lysis measurement of the second blood sample (page 7, lines 1-2, page 8,  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  full paragraph and page 9, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph), wherein the second blood sample characteristic represents a fibrin contribution to hemostasis (page 7, line 1, and page 11, 1st full paragraph), wherein the second blood sample comprises a blood sample without heparin (page 10, line 4), wherein the first blood sample comprises a first heparinized blood sample prepared with a first quantity of heparin and a second blood sample comprises a second heparinized blood sample prepared with a second quantity of heparin, different than the first quantity of heparin (page 10, lines 3-5), wherein each of the first blood sample and the second blood sample comprises a platelet rich plasma-patient plasma mixture, wherein each of the first blood sample and the second blood sample comprises patient whole blood (page 7, lines 20-22, and page 1, lines 14-16), wherein each of the first blood sample and second blood sample comprises an activator (page 11, 1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph). In the presence of heparin, the first blood sample characteristic will (inherently) represents a contribution to hemostasis of activated platelets in the presence of HiT II because heparin can induce HiT II (see Pravinkumar below).

Cohen does not teach determining heparin-induced thrombocytopenia II complex, the second blood sample comprises a blood sample prepared with a quantity of heparin sufficient to substantially completely suppress platelet activation.

Yamamoto teaches an apparatue (a platelet aggregometer) for determining heparin-induced thrombocytopenia II complex (abstract).

Art Unit: 1657

Pravinkumar teaches that heparin induces thrombocytopenia II which form a white clot (page 676, right column, 1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph and page 677, lines 20-23), and suggest that the induction is dose responsive (page 680, 1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the apparatus of Cohen to determine heparin-induced thrombocytopenia II complex (HiT II) because Yamamoto teaches that a platelet aggragometer can be use to determine heparin induces thrombocytopenia II (see above). One would have been motivated to make the modification because Cohen et al. specifically described the use of the apparatus to monitor heparin therapy and Yamamoto and Pravinkumar et al. teach that heparin induces thrombocytopenia II and it is imperative to discontinue all source of heparin before the laboratory confirmation of HiT (see Pravinkumar, page 683, beginning of Summary), and would reasonably have expected success in view of Yamamoto's teaching that a platelet aggregometer can be used to determine the presence of heparininduced thrombocytopenia II complex and Pravinkumar's teaching that heparin induces thrombocytopenia II in a dose responsive manner, wherein the second blood sample can be prepared with a quantity of heparin sufficient to substantially completely suppress platelet activation.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1657

Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Cohen nor Pravinkumar teach or suggest using an apparatus to determine HiT II.

It is the examiner's position that newly cited reference (Yamamoto) teaches and suggests a platelet aggragometer to determine heparing-induced thrombocytopenia, and that "a platelet aggregation (read as clot formation) of more that 25% was considered positive for the assay"-see page 83, left column, lines 5-6).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Conclusion

Art Unit: 1657

No claim is allowed.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1657 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and

Art Unit: 1657

history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or objections in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bin Shen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from about 9:00 AM to about 5:30 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to her office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached at (571) 272-0925.

Mallons

RALPH GITOMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

B Shen

Art Unit 1657